



Beha'alotcha, 12 Sivan 5776

Blow When You Are Happy

Rav Daniel Mann

Our *parasha* lists several uses for the *chatzotrot* (trumpets) that Bnei Yisrael were commanded to make. They were used in the desert, where all Bnei Yisrael were encamped together, for gathering the people or the leaders and as a sign to travel (Bamidbar 10:2-7). The Torah goes on to list purposes that were used throughout generations when the people were in their own land – to turn to Hashem when war or other trouble appeared (ibid. 9) and to blast joyous sounds on "your happy day (*yom simchatchem*), your *moadim* (special days within the year), and your new months … on your burnt offerings and your *shelamim* offerings" (ibid. 10).

While most of the occasions are clear, the "happy day" is not. It is hard to say that it is referring to holidays because those are mentioned immediately thereafter. There are *midrashim* that attribute it to Yom Kippur, as we are happy to receive atonement, or Shabbat, which precedes the *moadim* whenever these days are discussed together (*Parashat Emor* and *Parashat Pinchas*). While these are extremely important days, we do not usually find the word *simcha* in their context.

The Netziv and Meshech Chochma say that it refers to the inaugurations of the Temples, whether it be the *Mishkan* in the desert or the dedications of the *Batei Hamikdash*. This is, of course, a very joyous occasion, and we do find that the *gemara* (Taanit 26b) says that the *pasuk* about Shlomo's "day of his marriage and the day of the happiness of his heart" (Shir Hashirim 3:11) refers to the giving of the Torah and the building of the *Beit Hamikdash*, respectively.

The Ibn Ezra presents a very logical explanation. The day of joy is the day of victory in battle. Although the Netziv argues that we never find religious or political leaders in Tanach calling "days of happiness" after successful battle, the Ibn Ezra pointed out that we do find *simcha* in *Tanach* regarding Purim and the seven days of celebration that King Chizkiyahu called when the people of Yerushalayim were saved from Sancheriv's troops (Divrei Hayamim II:30:23).

According to the Ibn Ezra, the juxtaposition works out beautifully. The *pasuk* just described blowing beseeching *teruot* with the trumpets before the war. The next *pasuk* goes on to mention the blowing of celebratory *tekiot* after the victory, which was aided by the trumpets ("... and you will be remembered before Hashem your Lord and you will be saved from your enemies" (Bamidbar 10:9).

The Chizkuni says that Hashem remembers, when Bnei Yisrael blow at the time of trouble, that they also blow when bringing sacrifices on holidays. According to the Ibn Ezra's approach, this message rings especially clearly. Hashem realizes when we turn at the time of trouble that we will not forget, when we are successful, to thank Hashem for his Divine Providence that made the salvation possible (hopefully, this is the case). This, of course, is a lesson to remember in all generations, especially the last couple of generations, which have seen more successes in battle than we have seen in millennia.

Refuah Sheleymah to Orit bat Miriam

Hemdat Yamim is dedicated to the memory of: R' Eliyahu Carmel, Rav Carmel's father, who passed away on 8th of Iyar 5776 Hemdat Yamim **Yechezkel Tzadik**, Yaffa's father, who passed away on 11th of Ivar 5776 is endowed by Les & Ethel Sutker of Chicago, Illinois. R' Meir R' Yaakov Mrs. Rabbi in loving memory of ben Abraham Sara Wengrowsky Reuven Aberman zt"l ben Max and Mary & Aisha and Yechezkel bat R' Moshe Zev a"h, Eretz Hemdah's beloved friend and Sutker & Shraga Chana bat who passed Member of Eretz Hemdah's Amutah Louis and Lillian Brachfeld Yaish & Simcha who passed away away on Klein, z"l Sebbag, z"l 10 Tamuz, 5774 on 9 Tishrei, 5776 o.b.m



Eretz Hemdah Deans: Harav Yosef Carmel, Harav Moshe Ehrenreich 2 Bruriya St. corner of Rav Chiya St. POB 8178 Jerusalem 91080 Tel: 972-2-5371485 Fax: 972-2-5379626. amutah number 580120780 American Friends of Eretz Hemdah Institutions c/o Olympian, 8 South Michigan Ave., Ste. 605, Chicago, IL 60603, USA Our Taxpayer ID #: 36-4265359

www.eretzhemdah.org info@eretzhemdah.org Donations are tax deductable according to section 46 of the Israeli tax code

Ask the Rabbi



by Rav Daniel Mann

LED Shoes for Children on Shabbat

Question: Can a child wear on Shabbat shoes that have lights (LED) in the soles that light up when he walks?

Answer: The consensus is that activating light-emitting diodes (LEDs) on Shabbat is not a Torah-level prohibition, but is a Rabbinic level one. One connects a circuit and light is emitted (by the transfer of electrons through junctions of semi-conductors). It is not simple to pinpoint what the Rabbinic violation is (when the diodes do not form writing or pictures). Some (including Rav S.Z. Auerbach) say it is *molid* (creating something new), even though there is no explicit Talmudic category of *molid* with light. Others say it is under the category of *uvdin d'chol*, which is a sort of catch-all for things that by halachic intuition and precedent, must be forbidden on Shabbat, which we assume regarding operating electric systems on Shabbat.

In the case of a child's shoes, we can raise various grounds for leniency. This is especially the case if we assume, as depends on the circumstances, that despite the initial excitement of watching himself light up his shoes, a child eventually walks without thinking about the lights. Since the lights definitely will go on, this is a case of *p'sik reishei*, someone who intends to do an act (e.g., walking) for a certain purpose, but, by necessity another result, which is forbidden (e.g., activating LEDs on Shabbat), also occurs. While *p'sik reishei* is forbidden, the Terumat Hadeshen (64) says that a *p'sik reishei* of a Rabbinic violation is permitted. While we accept the opinion of the Magen Avraham (314:5), who forbids *p'sik reishei* even of a Rabbinic prohibition (see Mishna Berura 314:11), it is still a mitigating factor.

At some point, it is possible that the child is not considered to have a preference that the shoes light up, in which case, we have a *p'sik reishei d'lo nicha lei*, which the Aruch permits (see Beit Yosef, OC 320). Admittedly, the Aruch's opinion is not generally accepted (Shulchan Aruch, OC 320:18; see Mishna Berura 320:53, that all agree with the Aruch that there is no Torah prohibition). However, there are quite a few opinions (including Yabia Omer V, OC 28) that a *p'sik reishei d'lo nicha lei* on a Rabbinically forbidden result is permitted. While many are stringent even in that, in cases in which refraining from the matter at hand causes particular trouble, it is quite accepted to be lenient (Orchot Shabbat 30:5). Thus, if an adult were to ask about wearing the shoes in question, we would not allow it without special need, but this strict ruling would not be a definite one if he did not care out all about the lights.

When we turn to the question of a child wearing such shoes, the situation becomes much more lenient. If it is a toddler, who is too young to train in any serious way about observing Shabbat, then his parents do not have to distance him from violations of Shabbat. On the other hand, when one is involved in facilitating the prohibition for the child (the applications are broader than the Talmudic term of "feeding him by hand," and certainly include putting shoes on him), it is prohibited. The Rashba and Ran say that this prohibition does not apply to Rabbinic prohibitions (see Beit Yosef, OC 343). While the Shulchan Aruch (OC 343:1) does not accept this opinion, many are lenient in certain cases of need, at least with small children (Orchot Shabbat 24:(32) - see Bi'ur Halacha to 343:1). Regarding something which is not even unanimously agreed to be forbidden for an adult, it is much easier to be lenient for a child.

Despite all the technical grounds for leniency, it is very much out of the spirit of Shabbat to have a child wear such shoes on Shabbat, and, therefore, we rule that it is generally <u>forbidden</u>. That being said, if a one-time, unique situation arises where these are the only shoes the child is able to wear and the child does not think about activating the lights, it is possible to combine the indications for leniency and let a small child wear such shoes (or even put them on for him).



Have a question? -email us at info@eretzhemdah.org





The Time to Identify Sins

(condensed from Ein Ayah, Shabbat 2:205)

Gemara: One who is sick and is approaching death is told to admit [his sins], for all those who are put to death, admit their sins.

Ein Ayah: Man's soul was created straight, and therefore yearns for goodness and justice. The problem is that the confusion in concepts due to the strength of his desires removes a person from the straight path, until he does not recognize the true path that is naturally engrained in his spirit. However, when his body is weakened to the point that he is on the verge of death, then his internal life awakens and his feelings of true moral correctness are strengthened.

For this reason, before death is the most auspicious time to admit sins. The rays of light that shine at that time in the inner home of the soul will enable him to find every type of sin that occurred throughout his life. The strengthening of the moral side of the spirit comes from its natural, healthy element of the spirit, which is rejuvenated when the blindness of coarse desires subsides. For this reason, the appropriateness of admitting sin at this time is true for all people, explaining why we apply the rule that all who are put to death admit their sin.

General strengths are always built on natural foundations that have a specific purpose. In this case, it is the goal of turning to Hashem to repent for sin. Indeed, even a short interval of repentance in this world is very special (Avot 4:17), for it prepares the foundation of a person's life for eternity. Throughout a person's life, his spirit is full of fluidity, changing its perception and strengths and not remaining static. The most all-encompassing power of the spirit is certainly will-power, and it impacts other parts of the spirit, both those that are revealed and those that are concealed. All other powers in life impact will-power and are impacted by it. Therefore, desires are the true insignia of a person's spirit.

When a person is about to complete his life's spiritual work and have it remain permanent, he needs to sum up all of its different elements in a way that is connected to all that he did in his life. Generally a person uses his body as a vessel that is involved a little at a time in different activities and situations. It is a powerful thing to admit one's sins and thereby beam a light of truth and correctness into his spirit so that his desires at that point are in line with how Hashem created his spirit. He does this by casting off his desire for any type of sin. That explains the natural tendency for admitting sins at that time, which Hashem imbued each person.

A person should set healthy activities as his physical lifestyle, and those who think they can get away without such healthy living make a grave mistake. So too those who do not listen to the natural spiritual callings destroy their spirit. The Torah and fear of Hashem bolster the correct tendency to want to repent, as the *pasuk* says: "His heart will understand and he will return and be healed" (Yeshaya 6:10).

A person should understand that just as being executed by *beit din* is a sign that he has a sin that needs addressing, so too any upcoming death is a sign that there is some characteristic that deteriorated. After all, if everyone was on the perfect level, death would not exist in the world, as we pray for the time when our nation will be healed and Hashem will remove death forever (ibid. 25:8). If there are imperfect characteristics, there must also be sins that contributed to that situation. Therefore, natural death also needs to stand as a proof of the need for repentance, similar to the repentance done by one who is executed for an obvious sin.



Tzofnat Yeshayahu-Rabbi Yosef Carmel

The Prophet Yeshayahu performed in one of the most stormy and dramatic periods of the Israeli nation's life, a period of anticipation for the Messiah that was broken by a terrible earthquake, and also caused a spiritual and political upheaval. The light at the end of the tunnel shone again only in the days of Chizkiyah.

"Tzofnat Yeshayahu – from Uziya to Ahaz" introduces us to three kings who stood at this crossroad in our nation's history: Uziya, a king who seeked God but was stricken with leprosy because of his sin; Yotam, the most righteous king in the history of our people; And Ahaz, the king who knew God but did not believe in His providence.

In his commentary on the prophecies of Yeshayahu, Rabbi Yosef Carmel, Head of the Eretz Hemdah-Gazit rabbinical court and a disciple of Rabbi Shaul Israeli zt"l, clings to the words of Hazal, our sages, and to the commentaries of the Rishonim, the great Jewish scholars of the middle ages, and offers a fascinating way to study Tanach. This reading attempts to explain the Divine Plan in this difficult period and to clarify fundamental issues in faith. Tzofnat Yeshayahu reveals to the reader the meaning of the prophecies in the context of the prophet's generation and their relevance to our generation. Buy Now

Hemdat Yamim is dedicated in memory of the fallen in the war, protecting our homeland. May Hashem revenge their blood!

P'ninat Mishpat



Lawyer's Rights to Full Fees from Reluctant Client – part I

(ruling 72060 of the Eretz Hemdah-Gazit Rabbinical Courts)

[In the case we will be introducing this week, beit din dealt with allegedly unpaid fees by a client to a lawyer during a professional relationship that spanned 4 years. The long ruling relates both to general topics that apply throughout and certain questions that relate to individual cases the lawyer handled. In each installment, we will deal with one or two issues.]

Case: The plaintiff (=*pl*) is a lawyer (/owner of a law firm) who represented the defendant (=*def*), a wealthy businessman (/businesses he owned) in many matters, including several multi-million-shekel (attempted) purchases. *Def* paid *pl* more than 1.6 million shekels over 4 years, but *pl* claims that he is still owed more than 2 million shekels. <u>Issue #1</u>: Some of the work was supposed to be paid by hour of work. *Pl* presented logs of work that he and other of the firm's lawyers performed on *def*'s behalf (detailed according to the project, hours spent by day and the basic description of the work). In many cases, *def* argues that the amount of time claimed by *pl* is illogical and thus must be exaggerated.

Ruling: Issue #1: The gemara (Shvuot 46a) concludes that if there is a dispute between the employer and the worker regarding how much pay he was promised, the employer is believed, after he swears, because the worker is trying to extract money from him. The same rule should ostensibly apply when there is a disagreement about the amount of time worked, which is part of establishing the salary due. There is a difference, though, in that there the employer makes a definite claim contradicting the worker, whereas here, the employer just <u>surmises</u> that the time claims are incorrect. On the one hand, even when a plaintiff is certain and a defendant is uncertain, we do not extract payment (Shulchan Aruch, Choshen Mishpat 75:9). On the other hand, when a defendant admits part of a claim and is not sure about the rest, we say that since he should be required to swear and is not able, he has to pay (ibid. 13). Yet, in a case where he is not able to know, he does not have to pay in that case (Shach 75:54).

In the final analysis, when an agreement on pay was made when it was known in advance that one side would know the amount and one would not, the situation is different. The Rama (CM 91:3) says that in such a case, the one who knows can swear about the amount due to him and receive it. The S'ma (14:5) says that this is the case as long as the amount due was accrued in the framework of activity for the defendant's benefit (as in this case), as opposed to cases such as one who deserves a return of legal expenses, who needs to prove how much he spent. The Shach (CM 91:23) distinguishes between cases where the defendant implied he was believing the plaintiff and when he does not. According to this, as well, from the fact that *def* asked *pl* to work per hour and did not arrange a mechanism other than believing *pl*, he should be obligated. This is true both regarding those entries that *pl* himself recorded and those that his associates recorded; oral testimony is not necessary (see Shulchan Aruch, CM 91:5).

The Pitchei Teshuva (91:4) says that if there is reason to suspect that a plaintiff fabricated such claims, he can lose his credibility. However, *def* was not able to substantiate such claims, which he made regarding certain projects. (Rounding off hours in a manner that is accepted is not considered fabricating).

When you shop at AmazonSmile, Amazon donates 0.5% of the purchase price to American Friends of Eretz Hemdah Inc.

Bookmark the link <u>http://smile.amazon.com/ch/36-4265359</u> and support us every time you shop. Please spread the word to your friends as well.



A Glimpse at Greatness

NEW BOOK!!

A Study in the Works of Giants of Lomdus (Halachic Analysis). Including Short Biographies of the Featured Authors and "An Introduction to Lomdus" by **Rabbi Daniel Mann**, Dayan at Beit Din "Eretz Hemdah - Gazit"

Eretz Hemdah is the premier institution for training young rabbis to take the Israeli Rabbinate's rigorous Yadin Yadin examinations. **Eretz Hemdah**, with its distinctive blend of Religious Zionist philosophy and scholarship combined with community service, ensures that its graduates emerge with the finest training, the noblest motivations resulting in an exceptionally strong connection to Jewish communities worldwide.